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Chilean administrative data is very good by international standards, but it remains 
lonely. Our effort at CBC: merge separate datasets for macro and applied policy analysis 
exploiting existence of unique identifiers at firm and household level

Disclaimer: all data analysis at the CBC is done with fictitious IDs, protecting household and firms identities.
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Usual macro stats (activity, demand, labor mkt, inflation): 1-3 months lag. Compare this with admin. data lags:

- **Activity:**
  - IRS E-invoice (factura electrónica)
  - All B2B transactions between Chilean firms (in the formal sector) – **daily, one week lag**
  - Includes: goods/services description; unit Price; quantity; discounts; delivery address

- **Aggregate demand:**
  - Consumption:
    - E-receipts (boleta electrónica): B2C transactions of large Chilean retailers; starting Jan. 2021: universe of retailers – **daily, one week lag**
    - Electronic payments: universe of B2C and B2B transactions paid through debit and credit cards – **daily, one month lag**
  - Investment:
    - VAT declarations: **monthly frequency, one month lag**; B2B transactions: **daily, one week lag**
  - International trade;
  - Customs declarations: **daily, one-week lag**

- **Labor market**
  - Social sec. & unemployment insurance contributions; contract termination declarations – **monthly, one month lag**
  - Includes: firm-worker pair information (tenure; wages; reason for contract termination)

- **Inflation**
  - (Firm-specific) producer price indexes: **daily, one week lag**
  - (Firm-specific) consumer price indexes (starting Jan 2021): **daily, one week lag**
  - (Firm-specific) wage costs: **monthly, one month lag**
Our latest application: forecasting recovery from the bottomless pit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Septiembre FE t+3</th>
<th>Septiembre IPOM Sep</th>
<th>20.T3 FE t+3</th>
<th>20.T3 inf</th>
<th>20.T3 sup</th>
<th>20.T3 IPOM Sep</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Industria</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>-3.1%</td>
<td>-4.0%</td>
<td>-2.2%</td>
<td>-2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construcción</td>
<td>-20.5%</td>
<td>-13.0%</td>
<td>-28.3%</td>
<td>-29.6%</td>
<td>-26.8%</td>
<td>-26.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comercio y RR&amp;HH</td>
<td>-3.1%</td>
<td>-5.3%</td>
<td>-10.1%</td>
<td>-12.3%</td>
<td>-7.7%</td>
<td>-13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transporte</td>
<td>-19.9%</td>
<td>-4.8%</td>
<td>-21.2%</td>
<td>-22.4%</td>
<td>-20.0%</td>
<td>-13.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serv. Empresariales</td>
<td>-8.1%</td>
<td>-3.0%</td>
<td>-10.4%</td>
<td>-12.0%</td>
<td>-8.7%</td>
<td>-6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serv. Personales</td>
<td>-24.0%</td>
<td>-13.0%</td>
<td>-19.1%</td>
<td>-24.9%</td>
<td>-11.7%</td>
<td>-14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Minero</td>
<td>-5.2%</td>
<td>-3.6%</td>
<td>-9.8%</td>
<td>-10.7%</td>
<td>-8.9%</td>
<td>-8.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ‘t+1’, ‘t+2’, and ‘t+3’ are successive forecast after weekly arrival of new information.
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While speed is useful, depth (disaggregation) is even better

1. How does mobility affect sales, for different sectors?
   • Daily sales (by region/sector) and google mobility index (2019=100).
   • Between March-May: strong link between sales and mobility in most sectors.
   • After May: retail and business services increase resilience, but not Hotels&Restaurants, Personal Services.
   • Insight: conditional on restricted mobility, scenario might have better performance in some sectors, but others have little/no margin of adjustment.
While speed is useful, depth (disaggregation) is even better

2. How persistent will the scarring effects of the crisis be?

- Aggregate macro stats don’t allow an evaluation of firm death rates – even ex post, bankruptcy data is heavily skewed towards larger firms, with smaller establishments dying “off the radar”.

- However, this seems crucial to evaluate scarring effects, and calibrate medium term projections beyond lockdowns

- **Insight**: potential output has been depressed throughout the pandemic, and its recovery may be slow due to the permanent exit of a significant number of firms.
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When it comes to policy evaluation, merging is the key

1. Have new credit facilities at the CBC (similar to TLTROs) mobilized credit where it is most needed?
   - Aggregate credit data tells us that, contrary to other recessions, credit behaved countercyclically.
   - But does this reflect large firms hoarding cheap credit? Or is credit flowing to SMEs?
   - **Insight:** the program exceeded expectations → growth has been the largest where its most needed (and also where it finances growth).
When it comes to policy evaluation, merging is the key

2. Has credit access made a difference?

• Did access to credit affect real firm decisions, such as survival; investment; employment?

• **Insight**: access to credit negatively related to exit probability (report 0 sales) and positively related to investment performance.

• (Effects on employment? We just received employment data!)

\[
Y_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times C_{i,t-1} + \beta_2 \times V_{i,t-1} + \beta_3 \times V_{i,t-1} \times C_{i,t-1} + \beta_4 \times F_i + e_i
\]
When it comes to policy evaluation, merging is the key

3. Is our recent (positive) inflation surprise transitory, or persistent?
   - CPI in August-October surprised significantly to the upside: 76 bp (target: 3%).
   - This likely reflects consumption increased due to the withdrawal of 10% of private pensions savings, which should be transitory (and not affect inflation at the MP horizon of 2 years).
   - But... might there be something more to it? Perhaps more persistent effects from supply-side disruptions? Data from e-invoicing includes identities of seller and buyer – allows tracing changes in supplier networks.
   - **Insight**: there seems to be a positive relation between supply-chain disruptions and prices --warrants further analysis (preliminary!)
Next steps: understanding inflation pressures at the micro level

- **E-invoicing (factura electronica) records prices and quantities separately**
  - We can construct price indexes for the goods/services sold by each firm (for B2B transactions).
  - This implies we also observe the cost of purchased materials, electricity bills, etc.
  - Merging with int. trade data, we also observe costs of imported inputs.
  - We also observe wages at the firm level.
  - Of course, this all requires time and resource-intensive machine learning algorithms to codify information from non-standardized reporting (goods and services description).

- **E-receipts (boleta electronica)**
  - Starting January 2021, we will also receive individual B2C sales, for all firm in the country (exponentially larger datasets). This also includes prices and quantities separately.
  - This would lead to a direct measure of CPI inflation, weighted by the effective expenditure basket.

- Together, these price-related datasets will allow us to estimate firm-level Phillips curve-type relationships, improving our forecasting capacity and the calibration of MP decisions.
A small sample of recent progress...
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Real cost of implementing micro-data agenda: limits to cooperation between institutions, due to legal obstacles and/or concerns about the public’s perception

- Most government branches collect data to fulfill individual mandates
  - IRS gathers data with tax-collecting purposes only.
  - INE conducts surveys to update aggregate series (demographics; labor market; activity).
  - Public adm. branches gather info related to specific objectives (M. labor: compliance with labor code; M. education: monitoring schooling outcomes; N. Registry: update demographic info).
    - --> Little interest in merging info to understand deeper economic interactions.
- Legal constraints (and their “interpretations”) give ample room for obstructing cooperation
  - Data protection laws impede data merging (or create enough ambiguity to justify inaction).
    - Statistical secrecy; tax-info secrecy; banking-info secrecy.
- In most countries, the public is suspicious of individual data use by govt. authorities (e.g., 1984)
  - In our case, recent progress is largely due to the excellent disposition of the IRS to share data with the CBC, under highest standards of anonymity and data protection.
  - But, how can this model be replicated or improved elsewhere?
Optimal model: information flows towards the center. Challenge: convince the public that this is more efficient, safer, and significantly improves quality of govt. services and crisis response.

Decentralized model: Chile (ex - CBC) & most countries
- Inefficient & expensive: $N(N-1)$ potential bilateral agreements to sign.
- Information is partial and incomplete at each node.
- Unsafe: multiple data sharing protocols, ad-hoc rules, increases scope for data filtrations/misuse.

Centralized model: Denmark
- Efficient: $N$ relationships, all facing towards one data aggregator.
- Information is complete at central node. Can then be accessed by all participants according to their needs/mandates.
- Safe: each institution shares information with the central counterpart ONLY, under common standards and safety protocols.
Thanks!